



SOUTH NEWNHAM NEIGHBOURHOOD FORUM

NOTES OF MEETING

REF: SNNF-COM-MOM-21-01

PAGE: Page 1 of 2

DATE: 16th February 2021

REV: 1

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| DATE & TIME OF MEETING: - | 14 th February 2021, 3.0 pm | |
| WRITTEN BY: - | R. Smith | |
| PURPOSE OF MEETING: | Committee Meeting (Via Zoom) Note: - Meetings not held in Nov 2020 & Jan 2021 due to Covid-19 | |
| ATTENDEES: - | Committee: - Fiona Crawford, Jean Glasberg, Jean Bevan, Andrew Whittaker, Hugh Clough, Roger Smith, Roger Sewell | |
| ITEM | AGENDA | ACTION |
| 1.0 | Previous Minutes - No comments received | |
| 2.0 | Planning Applications - The following applications were to be discussed: - a) 36 Millington Road b) Owlstone Road Solar Panels c) 9 Gough Way d) Removal of trees at Newnham Mill. | |
| 3.0 | Discussion: - a) 36 Millington Road – Andrew had reviewed this application and was preparing a submission to planning. Both Andrew and Jean G had discussions with the applicant on their submission and had concerns, particularly about the size and style of the dormer windows. Shortly after these discussions the applicant notified the Forum that they had submitted their application to the council. The applicant had not acted on the Forum's comments, but the Design and Access Statement included the following paragraph: - <i>"In addition, the advice of the South Newnham Neighbourhood Forum was also sought to ensure the proposal addresses key aspects of interest to the broader community."</i> The inclusion of this statement implies the Forum had approved the plans when it had not. It was agreed that once the application appeared on the website the Forum would write to Planning to clarify this point. | Andrew/Jean G. R. Smith |

SOUTH NEWNHAM NEIGHBOURHOOD FORUM

| | | |
|------------|---|---|
| | <p>b) Owlstone Road solar panels – whilst it was agreed applications for improving the thermal efficiency and properties of existing buildings should be supported but there was some concern about what was acceptable in Conservation areas. There was some discussion regarding photoelectric Tiles as an alternative to panels. Jean Glasberg to write to the Susan Smith the Conservation officer. Roger Smith to draft a response to the application for review / discussion.</p> <p>c) Gough Way – this application also includes solar panels. There have been no adverse comments on this application from any Forum members when issued to them last week.</p> <p>d) Removal of trees at Newnham Mill - Jean G has drafted a response. Roger Smith to forward to planning and copy Joanna Davies.</p> | <p>Jean G. R. Smith</p> <p>R. Smith</p> |
| 4.0 | <p>No Comment on an Application -Standard letter</p> <p>a) It was agreed that the Forum should write to Cambridge planning when they have no objection to an application. Currently we mainly write when we are objecting. Roger Smith to prepare a standard letter.</p> | R. Smith |
| 5.0 | <p>Any Other Business.</p> <p>a) There was a proposal from the Cam Valley Forum to make a section of the river near the Canoe Club on Sheep's Green suitable for bathing and whether there would be issues with the canoe club and safety concerns. No action was agreed at this time.</p> <p>b) Roger Smith reported that there were still problems with receiving emails from some Committee members mainly Jean G and Fiona. Roger Sewell to investigate and help resolve the problem.</p> | R. Sewell |
| 6.0 | Next Meeting - To be confirmed | |
| 7.0 | Distribution - Committee members | R Smith |