



SOUTH NEWNHAM NEIGHBOURHOOD FORUM

NOTES OF MEETING

REF: SNNF-COM-MOM-02

PAGE: Page 1 of 2

DATE: 2 Aug 2022

REV: 2

DATE & TIME OF MEETING: -	2 nd August 2022	
WRITTEN BY: -	R. Smith	
PURPOSE OF MEETING:	Committee Meeting	
ATTENDEES: -		
Committee: -		
Chair: - Jean Bean, Vice Chair - Jean Glasberg, Treasurer: - Hugh Clough, Secretary: - Roger Smith Members: - Andrew Whittaker, Roger Sewell		
ITEM	AGENDA	ACTION
1.0	Lessons From Redesignation Application	
	<p>a) Jean Bevan confirmed that she had received confirmation that the South Newnham Forum's application for redesignation had been approved.</p> <p>b) There were a number of objections to the Forums redesignation submitted to the Council.</p> <p>c) There were also letters of support, but it was disappointing that many residents who attended the walk-ins and promised to write in giving support had forgotten to do so within the time limit.</p>	
2.0	Owlstone Croft Application	
	<p>a) Jean Glasberg summarised the status of this application.</p> <p>b) Queens College had asked to fast track this application through planning but due to the number of adverse comments submitted to the Case Officer (Tom Gray) the deadline for the application has been extended from 29th July to 6th October.</p> <p>c) It was disappointing that many planning officers, although setting a number of conditions have not objected to this application even though it contravenes up to 16 current Cambridge Local Plan Policies.</p> <p>d) Looking through the officers' comments she reported that many of their comments could be challenged as they had considered only the site and not the impact on the local area. Many of their comments were also incorrect.</p> <p>e) The additional time for application review would also allow additional bat surveys to be carried out. Rare bats are present and roost in one of the buildings to be demolished so it is necessary to determine the full impact on the protected species, particularly during the maternal season.</p>	

SOUTH NEWNHAM NEIGHBOURHOOD FORUM

	f) Jean Bevan, Jean Glasberg and Hugh Clough to review Officers comments on the planning portal and prepare a response to the Case Officer.	JB/JG
3.0	Submission of Neighbourhood Plan	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) It was confirmed that all comments for the two drop-in events have been incorporated into the Neighbourhood Plan documents. b) Andrew reported that he has spoken to Rachel Hogger with a view to assisting the Forum in their submission of their final Plans to the Council as she had successfully assisted with other local Neighbourhood Plans. c) It was agreed to send the current draft NP to Alison Talkington. d) Roger to send the updated notes on Alison's previous comments to Andrew with a view to sending this also if it would help her review. e) It was confirmed that Alison would only require the revised NP and not the other supporting documents. 	RAS/AW RAS
4.0	Any Other Business	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) 25 Fulbrooke Road – Andrew confirmed that as this was a non-material revision, we could not object although it was upsetting for neighbours that once an application was approved there was a loophole where the applicant could revise the application to include a party wall to gain access under the rules. HC left the meeting while this item was discussed due to possible conflict of interest b) Haslingfield Greenaway – There were concerns regarding the route through the South Newnham area. Areas discussed were, the Grantchester Road- possibility of traffic calming at both ends and 20mph speed limit for full extent, the Barton Road/Grange Rd junction and use of the Baulk footpath. c) 5G Mast opposite St Marks Church – there was little information on the planning website for this application and it is believed the 5G masts are quite substantial. Roger Smith to review and request clarification. 	Committee RAS
5.0	Distribution – All present + website	RAS